

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|----------------|------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 09/737,004 | 12/14/2000 | Suzanne F. Groemminger | P02977 | 8548 |
| 7: | 590 07/22/2003 | | | |
| John E. Thomas | | | EXAMINER | |
| Law Department Bausch & Lomb Incorporated One Bausch & Lomb Place | | GREGORY R | | |
| Rochester, NY | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | |
| , | | | | |

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/737,004 | GROEMMINGER, S | SUZANNE F. |
| Advisory Addon | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Gregory R. Del Cotto | 1751 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress |
| THE REPLY FILED 10 July 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appearamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | ivoid abandonment of this applicable in the sapplication of the sapplication and the sapple and the sapplication (a) a time at the sapple at t | cation. A proper re ich places the appli | ply to a cation in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | EPLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dath have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moderned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | visory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date on FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. sion and the corresponding amount of the distatutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or | See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note t | below); | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or | simplifying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE: | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected clain | ms. |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ction(s): | | |
| Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | I be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely file | d amendment |
| 5.⊠ The a)□ affidavit, b)□ exhibit, or c)⊠ request fo application in condition for allowance because: <u>Se</u> | | sidered but does No | OT place the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which we | ere newly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | and an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | ÷ | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | • | |
| Claim(s) rejected: | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | • | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed.on is | a) approved or b) disap | proved by the Exan | niner. |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | * | |
| 10. Other: | | Gregory R. Del Co Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1751 | M. |
| U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01) Advi | isory Action | Part of Paper No10 | |

Application No.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant's response is insufficient to overcome the rejection(s) set forth in Paper #8 which have been maintained for the reasons of record.